Revenue **(1922) Department.**

Miscellaneous SERIES.

G.O. No. 169

DATED 24-1-22

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council. January 1922.

Resolution No 208. Extension of Remission
[illegible] of the South Canada District.

Resubmitted to C3.

cir 8-11-21

RDS 9-11-21

12/12/21

NOTES CONNECTED WITH A RESOLUTION ON MATTERS OF GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST.

[For the meeting of the 15th November 1921.]

cir 12-1-22
RA 20-1-22

[SUBJECT.—*Extension of the ordinary remission rules to the South Kanara district.*]

206

COUNCIL OFFICE.

Mr. K. SADASIVA BHAT:—

207 (208) **That this Council recommends to the Government that the principles and rules under which revenue remissions are allowed in other districts of the Presidency be extended to South Kanara.**

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Mr. Sadasiva Bhat moved the same resolution at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on 19th November 1918.

The notes prepared on the occasion will be found on pages 1–3 of G.O. No. 608, Revenue, dated 14th March 1919. Reference is solicited to the remarks of Mr. Couchman on pages 5 to 7 and to his speech on pages 12 and 13 of the same Government Order which explain the reasons why the ordinary remission rules should not be extended to the South Kanara district. (T)

It is for orders whether any further information should be collected.

N.K.—20–9–21. V.P.P.—20–9–21.

Please see the Additional Member's speech on his resolution in 1918. As he is likely to repeat his arguments on the present occasion, it may be useful to refer to the points then urged and not traversed in the official speeches.

(1) He suggested that the revised rates were high having resulted in an increase of over 9 lakhs of revenue. In answer to this, attention is invited to the passage marked with red chalk on pages 17 and 313 of G.O. No. 982, Revenue, dated 9th October 1905. (T)

(2) He also complained of the treatment accorded to "Manavari" lands. As to this, attention is invited to paragraphs 57 and 58 of the Settlement Deputy Commissioner's report at page 48 of G.O. No. 757, Revenue, dated 25th August 1902.

(3) He referred in connexion with cultivation expenses to the fact that cultivation has to be carried on under more than ordinary difficult circumstances and enumerated the difficulties. All the factors referred to by the Member of the Legislative Council were taken into account in determining the cultivation expenses. Please see paragraphs 99 to 101 of the Settlement Deputy Commissioner's report and particularly the passage marked with red chalk in paragraph 99.

The scale is not only liberal but higher than that adopted for Malabar (vide the last sub-paragraph at page 73 and paragraph 25 at page 190 of G.O. No. 757, dated 25th August 1902). (R)

P. T. SRINIVASA ACHARIYAR—21–9–21.

The case has been fully gone into before. The mover seems to have been wrong in his statement as to the increase by settlement (vide page 17 of G.O. No. 982, Revenue, dated 9th October 1905). The higher paddy lands have been assessed at rates appropriate to their positions (vide page 48 of G.O. No. 757, Revenue, dated 25th August 1902). In fact the mover's objections seem to be based on the Settlement report and to be met there. (T) (R)

Since the precedent of applying B.S.O. No. 14 was made in 1918 and would no doubt be followed in case of necessity, no further order would seem to be necessary.

E. W. LEGH—21–9–21.

M. H[ABIB-UL-LAH]—25–10–21.

The Hon'ble Mr. Legh is right in saying that the resolution was not pressed to a division.

19/11/21

20/1/22

20. 1. 22.

REVENUE-B
117
Rep. 20/1922

350—28-9-21.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

UNDER SEC. TO GOVT. 20 JAN 1922 REVENUE DEPT.

The following resolution was—

~~carried~~
~~carried as amended~~
~~lost~~
~~not moved and was therefore deemed to have been withdrawn~~
in the absence of the mover, deemed to have been withdrawn.
~~by leave withdrawn~~

Resolution No. 208 [243]

Revenue remissions in South Kanara.

Mr. K. SÁDASIVA BHAT:—

208 [243] That this Council recommends to the Government that the principles and rules under which revenue remissions are allowed in other districts of the Presidency be extended to South Kanara.

Mover absent

~~Amendment~~

21/1/22.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OFFICE,

20. 1. 1922.

K. Viswanathan
Secretary to the Legislative Council.

To Under Secy

abstract.

Legislative Council — January 1922 — Resolution no 208 — extension of remission rules to the S. Kanara Dt.

The rules may now be sent vide 169 dt 24.1.22.

Recorded.

28.1.22.

25/1/22